C.F. 71.

1937.

Department of

OFFICE w the Board w Rev.

STATION Chepauk

Misc No. 2334

Dated 29-7-37

73334/37

27 JUL 1937

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT)

Sett. Relett. (South Kanara) - Udipi taluk - Santhe village - RS No 305/2c2 - Wrong classification as wet. Restoration of the dry classification for the dry portion. Sanctioned

Ref.A1-6516-36. South Kanara Collector's Office,

Mangalore, dated 1st July 1937.

OP 14-3 F 3334

From

M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur M. V. Vellodi, Avl., B.A.,

Collector of South Kanara.

The Secretary to

The Board of Revenue,

Madras.

Sir,

Settlement - Resettlement - Udipi Taluk - Santhur village - correction of wet classification as dry - Petition of Mukunda Kamath - Recommended -

****

I enclose the petition of M. Mukunda Kamath, pleader, Karkal, together with the records of enquiry held into it and state as follows:-

2. The petitioner is the registered holder of R.S.No. 305/2C2 of Santhur village, Udipi taluk standing registered as wet iii; Rs.3-6-0 rate of assessment. The petitioner contends that it was dry prior to the Resettlement and that it was wrongly classified as wet iii at Resettlement. He admits that out of a total extent of acres 2.31, he has converted about 40 cents into wet about a couple of years ago. He therefore now prays for the restoration of the settlement dry rate of assessment in respect of R.S.No.305/2C2 still remaining as dry.

3. The plot has been inspected by the Tahsildar of Udipi and the Revenue Divisional Officer, Coondapur and the result of their inspection shows that it is a fact that only a portion of the plot now subdivided as 2C2B measuring 34 cents was actually converted into a iii class wet field while the remaining portion marked as 2C2A measuring acres 1.97 is still dry with jungle growth on it and was at no time levelled and rendered fit for wet cultivation.

4. I have examined the original records of inspection etc. prepared at the Resettlement. The village of Santhur was

an experimentally resurveyed village of Udipi Taluk. In the reclassification Register the settlement Inspector --- recorded his notes of inspection against 305/2C2 to the effect that the entire plot was cultivated with paddy crops and that it was on a higher level than the clubbed wet II portion, that only one crop was said to be raised and that the plot might be registered as wet III. The Special -- Assistant Settlement Officer approved of it with the result that the plot R.S.305/2C2 was reclassified as wet III assessed at Rs.3-6-0 per acre at the Resettlement against its original classification after resurvey as dry bearing assessment at Re.0-12-0 per acre.

5. The present inspection by the Revenue Officer clearly shows that the Settlement Inspector's remarks have been erroneously made and that consequently the whole plot was erroneously reclassified as wet III when only a portion (34 cents) out of the entire extent of acres 2.31 is or was a paddy field. It is true that the petitioner did not appeal to the authorities in time. But, since the Special Assistant Settlement Officer's order is based on a mistaken representation it should, in my opinion, be set right. I, therefore, recommend that the Board may be pleased to sanction the grant of the petitioner's request so that necessary changes may be carried out in the Resettlement Land Register.

6. The Resettlement records of the village as detailed in the margin* are submitted for reference.

*1. Clubbed Subdivision list and reclassification register.
2. Reclassification Register.
3. S.C.M. Rough sketches file.
4. C.L. list Volume II.
5. Dry list and R.C.S.L. Sketch files.
6. R.C.S.L. Rough sketches file.

For Collector 2.7.37

27.7.37

C.F. 10.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying		
When copied		
When examined		
When signed		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT :— Sett. Reclt. (South Kanara). Udipi taluk. Santhur village. R.S.No 305/2c Wrong classification as wet. Restoration of the dry classification to the dry portion. ordered. Sanctioned.

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " _____ 19____.

READ *ALSO* :—

No. _____ From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 19____.

2 Cf " F 3334/37 " Collr. of S. Kanara " Ref. A.I. 6576.36, dt 1.7.37 19____.

_____ " _____ " _____ " _____.

Order / Resolution — Ms. / ~~Rout.~~ No. 2336, dated 21-7-1937.

No. 151

For Insurance Notices see reverse.
Stamps affixed except in case of uninsured letters not exceeding 2½ tolas or one ounce in weight or on which no acknowledgment is due.

Rs. / As.
Date-stamp.

Received ~~&~~ registered * _____
addressed to _____

_____

_____

* Write here "letter," "postcard," "packet" or "parcel" with the word "Insured" before it when necessary.

Initials of Receiving Officer.

If insured. *Insured for Rs. (in figures)* _____ *(in words)* _____

Insurance fee Rs. Rs. 2-3 *(in words)* _____ Weight _____ Rates _____ Total _____

Name and address of sender. _____

Circumstances stated accepts the Collr's proposal and ~~sanctions~~ sanctions restoration of the dry classification of the portion of the field, now subdivided as R.S.No 305/2c2A measuring A.C. 1.91 in the Santhur village, Udipi taluk, South Kanara Dn.

(to)

B.P.R 20.7.37

N.D. 21/7

N.D. 21/7/37

To the Collr. of S. Kanara with connected enclosures and the petition with the/~~his~~ records.

As per list returned.

portion bracketed at page 2 of the Cf. item

N.D. 21/7

Note pl

Sett. Reett. (S:Kanara) - Vd:pi taluk. Santhur village. RSNo 305/2C2 - wrong classification as well. restoration of the dry classification for the dry punja proposal

pp 1-2C7 F 3334/37 From the Comr of S. Kanara

To As (Ee

Please see the Comr's letter at pp 1-2C7.

2. The Comr's letter sets out the facts of the case how RSNo 305/2C2 of Santhur village Vd:pi tk, which were dry prior to the resettlement, came to be wrongly classified as wet at the resett when as per inspection made by the Tahr. of Vd:pi and the R.D.O concerned it is now found that only a portion of it measuring 34 Cents is under wet Cultivation. It does not appear from the Settlement records submitted by the Comr. whether the inspection of the field made by the Settlement Inspector was overchecked by the higher authorities.

pp 13 and 14-2007 (V)

3. In the circumstances stated by the Comr., the request of the petitioner

to restore the dry classification for the dry portion of the field now subdivided A+C as R.S.No 305/2c2A measuring 1.97 acres Lanchoes and the Collr. informed accordingly for orders

This may be done. 16/7/37

K. 15/7.

15/7

J.S. 15/7

Dr. 16/7

S.P.R. 10.7.37

Gr. 15/7

This is the inevitable result of fostering bulky files with thin papers. I am sure we can improve on this

17/7

To As (Cdt)

Please see orders above.

Draft is submitted for approval

The circular has been asked to send such big files in parts.

S.P.R. 20.7.37

21/7

22/7

As (Cdt) 22/7

This & Supdt's letter & circulars to note Commissioner's remarks.

MB 21.7.37 C.C.

Cc. to Note.

Sir Noted MB 21.7.37 C.C.

B.P.No.2336 Mis.,
dated 21-7-1937

F.Section.

BOARD OF REVENUE
(8 1 JUL 1937)
ADRAS

List of records.

1. Clubbed subdivision list and reclassification register
2. Reclassification Register
3. S.C.M. Rough sketches file
4. C.L. List Volume II
5. Dry list and R.C.S.L. Sketch files
6. R.C.S.L. Rough sketches file.

Records received

(B.O) 29.7.37

Eng Head clerk

29/7/37

m.p.
23/7